

KING COUNTY

Signature Report

November 20, 2000

Ordinance 13988



Proposed No. 2000-0598.2

Sponsors McKenna

1	AN ORDINANCE relating to the county regular property
2	tax levy and the emergency medical services levy for
3	collection in 2001, and implementing the provisions of
4	RCW 84.55.120.
5	
6	
7	
8	BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:
9	SECTION 1. Findings:
10	1. At the November 7, 2000 general election, the voters of Washington State
11	overwhelmingly approved Initiative 722. This initiative will take effect on December 7
12	2000.
13	2. The metropolitan King County council will comply with the wishes of the
14	voters by adhering to the requirements of Initiative 722.
15	3. Initiative 722 establishes limits on property tax increases imposed by local
16	governments, and therefore affects the amount of revenue King County will collect in
17	2001.

18	The council is under an obligation by charter to enact revenue measures by
19	December 1, 2000 that support the 2001 budget appropriation ordinance.
20	5. This ordinance sets the amount of property tax to be collected for the county
21	regular levy and the energency medical services levy.
22	6. This ordinance establishes property tax levies that are within the limitations
23	provided for in Initiative 722 and Referendum 47.
24	7. In accordance with RCW 84.55.120, the council has given proper notice of this
25	ordinance to the public.
26	SECTION 2. County regular property tax levy. For collection in 2001, the
27	council hereby authorizes an increase of 4,346,298 dollars, which is a percentage increase
28	of 2.00 percent. This increase is exclusive of any amount resulting from new
29	construction or any increase in value of state-assessed property. This increase will result
30	in a total property tax levy of 221,661,209 dollars, exclusive of an amount resulting from
31	new construction or any increase in value of state-assessed property.
32	SECTION 3. EMS property tax levy. For collection in 2001, the council hereby
33	authorizes an increase of 864,252 dollars, which is a percentage increase of 2.00 percent.
34	This increase is exclusive of any amount resulting from new construction or any increase
35	in value of state-assessed property. The increase will result in a total EMS property tax
36	levy of 44,076,843 dollars, exclusive of an amount resulting from new construction or

any increase in value of state-assessed property.

37

38 SECTION 4. These amounts are hereby certified and, upon enactment, the clerk 39 is directed to forward this ordinance to the assessor in accordance with RCW 84.52.070. 40 41 42 Ordinance 13988 was introduced on 10/30/00 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 11/20/00, by the following vote: Yes: 8 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Miller, Ms. Fimia, Mr. McKenna, Mr. Pullen, Ms. Hague, Mr. Vance and Mr. Irons No: 5 - Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Nickels and Mr. Gossett Excused: 0 KING COUNTY COUNCIL Pete von Reichbauer, Chair ATTEST: Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council APPROVED this 30 day of NUVEMBER, 2000.

Attachments

None

Ron Sims, County Executive

					a ,	
						•
						5
			·			



November 30, 2000

The Honorable Pete von Reichbauer, Chair Metropolitan King County Council Room 1200 COURTHOUSE

Dear Chair von Reichbauer:

I am today vetoing in its entirety Ordinance 2000-0598.2 (13988), the County's regular property tax levy and Emergency Medical Services Property Tax Levy, due to mistakes in the levy calculation.

The property tax collection methodology as approved by this ordinance and passed by the Council is incorrect.

The Budget Chair's decision not to use the state Department of Revenue's recommended methodology in the property tax levy for the Current Expense (CX) Fund and Emergency Medical Services (EMS), results in a \$5.7 million CX budget shortfall and a \$1.1 million EMS revenue shortfall. This levy also supports mental health, developmental disabilities, veterans' aid, the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) and the River Improvement Fund. As a result, revenues are less than expenditures in the budget.

This major policy decision regarding calculation methodology was never before the Council for debate or decision. The impact of Council's decision to calculate a lower property tax means that the County and our regional partners will have to cut critical public services in order to reduce spending levels to match the lower revenue levels approved by the Council.

This error in calculation must be corrected and the lost revenue from excluding tax collection on 2000 new construction must be restored to ensure both our CX and EMS budgets are in balance as required by law.

The Honorable Pete von Reichbauer November 30, 2000 Page 2

I respectfully invite and urge all King County Councilmembers to work with me to set property tax levels which meet our basic responsibilities and which correspond to the expenditure levels supported by both the Executive and the Council.

Sincerely,

King County Executive

Attachments

cc: King County Councilmembers

ATTN: John Chelminiak, Chief of Staff

Rebecha Cusak, Lead Staff, BFM Committee

Shelley Sutton, Policy Staff Director Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Pat Steel, Director, Office of Budget